PATENT ATTORNEY DOCKET No. 27459-803/121

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent of:

MOURA et al.

Patent No. 5,586,121

Application No. 08/426,920

Patentee: Hybrid Networks, Inc.

Filed: April 21, 1995

Issued: December 17, 1996

For: ASYMMETRIC HYBRID ACCESS SYSTEM AND

METHOD

Certificate of Correction Branch Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

REQUEST FOR CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 255 and 37 CFR § 1.323

Patentee respectfully requests a Certificate of Correction to correct obvious errors in this Patent. These errors are of a clerical nature and are of minor character, and occurred in good faith.

Proposed changes to the claims are on the attached form PTO-1050. Patentee respectfully submits that each of these changes reflects Patentee's obvious intent. In line 21 of

03/11/1998 GPOINDEX 00000003 BAR:060115 558612 Sale Ref: 00000009 BAR: 060115 5586121 01 FC:145 100.00 CH

1

claim 7 of the printed patent, there is a period after "manager." There is additional text ending with a period, however, between the period in line 21 and the beginning of claim 8. Thus, Patentee respectfully submits that the period in line 21 of claim 7 was obviously intended to be a comma.

In lines 10-11 of claim 11 of the printed patent, there is a recitation of "controlling the downstream and upstream in interactive network sessions . . ." Previously in the claim, however, "downstream" and "upstream" are only used as modifiers: "downstream information" (line 5), "upstream channel" (line 8), and "downstream channel" (lines 8-9). Thus, Patentee respectfully submits that the recitation in lines 10-11 of claim 11 was obviously intended to be --controlling the downstream and upstream channels in interactive network sessions . . .--

In line 18 of claim 13, there is a recitation of "said host server." There is no antecedent "a host server" but there is an antecedent "a server" in line 1. Throughout claim 13 (lines 7-8, 11, 14, 22, and 25) all other references are to "server" instead of "host server." Dependent claims 17, 18, 19, 23, 26, 27, 29, 30, 37, and 38 also recite "server" instead of "host server." Thus, Patentee respectfully submits that the recitation in line 18 of claim 13 was obviously intended to be –said server—.

In lines 27-28 of claim 13, there is a recitation of "said shared media." There is no antecedent "a shared media" but there is an antecedent "a shared medium" in line 8, and there are recitations of "said shared medium" in dependent claims 20, 23, 25, 28, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, and

36. Thus, Patentee respectfully submits that the recitation in line 27-28 of claim 13 was obviously intended to be -said shared medium-.

Line 1 of claim 46 begins "The network communication system . . ." Claim 46, however, is an independent claim. Thus, Patentee respectfully submits that the recitation on line 1 was obviously intended to be -A network communication system . . -

In lines 25-28 of claim 57, there is a recitation "further including a backbone interface . . . , a downstream router . . . " Thus, Patentee respectfully submits that, to grammatically connect the two clauses, the comma on line 26 should be –and–.

In lines 28-29 of claim 57, there is a recitation of "said shared media." There is no antecedent "a shared media" but there is an antecedent "a shared medium" in line 10 and there are recitations of "said shared medium" in claim 57 in line 10, and line 18. Thus, Patentee respectfully submits that the recitation in line 28-29 of claim 57 was obviously intended to be -said shared medium-.

In lines 25 of claim 58, there is a recitation of "said shared media." There is no antecedent "a shared media" but there is an antecedent "a shared medium" in line 10 and there are recitations of "said shared medium" in claim 58 in line 16. Thus, Patentee respectfully submits that the recitation in line 25 of claim 58 was obviously intended to be –said shared medium—.

In accordance with 37 CFR § 1.20 (a), please charge the required fee of \$100.00 to Farkas & Manelli PLLC Deposit Account No. 06-0115. If there are any fees required for consideration

of this document, or for any other reason, please charge such fees to the Farkas & Manelli, PLLC Deposit Account No. 06-0115.

Respectfully submitted,

FARKAS & MANELLI, PLLC

Serome D. Jackson

Reg. No. 33,186 Tel: (202) 778-1130 Fax: (202) 887-0336

Farkas & Manelli PLLC 1233 20th Street, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, DC 20036

Dated: 1/14/98

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

PATENT NO. : 5,586,121 DATED : Dec. 17, 1996

INVENTOR(S): Moura et al.

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

In claim 7, line 21, after "manager" change "." to -,-.

In claim 11, line 11, after "upstream", insert -channels-.

In claim 13, line 18, change "said host server" to -said server-; and line 28, change "said shared media" to -said shared medium-.

In claim 46, line 1, change "The" to "A".

In claim 57, line 26, change "," to -and-; and line 29, change "media" to -medium-.

In claim 58, line 25, change "media" to -medium-.

MAILING ADDRESS OF SENDER:

Farkas & Manelli PLLC 1233 20th Street, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, DC 20036 5,586,121

No. of add'l copies @ 50¢ per page







DATE : 3-12-98	•		
	Art Unit <u>2603</u>		
SUBJECT: Certificate of Correction Request in Patent No. 5,584,121			
A response to the following	g question(s) is request	ed with respect to the accompany	ving request for a certificate of correction.
2 1. Would the change	(s) requested under 37	CFR 1.323 constitute new matte	r or require reexamination of the application?
2. Would the change the examiner in the		CFR 1.323 materially affect the	scope or meaning of the claims allowed by
3. Applicant disagree the change reques		led and dated by Examiner in lie	u of an Examiner's Amendment. Should
4. With respect to the change(s) requested, correcting Office errors, should the patent read as shown in the certificate of correction?			
5. If the amendment filed had been considered by the Examiner, would the amendment have been entered?			
PLEASE RESPOND WITH ROOM 8 09, PKI 918 PK 3		URN THE FILE TO	Trina South Patent Assistant
			,
TO: CERTIFICATES OF CORRECTION BRANCH			DATE:
The decision regarding the	change(s) requested in	the certificate of correction is sl	nown below.
1. 🔲 YES	M NO	☐ Comments below	
2. YES	X NO	☐ Comments below	
3. YES	☐ NO	☐ Comments below	
4. 🔲 YES	☐ NO	☐ Comments below	
5. YES	□ NO	☐ Comments below	
Comments			
		Jey (d	2)34 Art 11=8